Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 16396 01 OF 02 071740Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 MCT-02 L-03 SSO-00 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 INRE-00 DOTE-00 DODE-00 FAA-00 H-01 EB-08 SY-05 NSCE-00 SP-02 IO-13 JUSE-00 USSS-00 SS-15 PM-05 /077 W

-----002754 071755Z /41

O R 071728Z SEP 78
FM AMEMBASSY BONN
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1698
INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY PARIS
AMEMBASSY WARSAW
USMISSION USBERLIN

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 01 OF 02 BONN 16396

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1

USMISSION USNATO

TAGS: PINS, PGOV, BQG, WB, PL, GE, GW

SUBJECT: HIJACK OF LOT AIRCRAFT TO BERLIN: FURTHER BONN GROUP REACTION TO US POSITION

REFS: A) BONN 16270 B) STATE 225179

- 1. SINCE REF A WAS DRAFTED DURING THE SEPTEMBER 6 BONN GROUP MEETING IN ORDER TO INFORM THE DEPARTMENT URGENTLY OF THE CHANCELLOR'S VIEWS, THAT CABLE DID NOT FULLY REPORT THE VIEWS EXPRESSED AT THE MEETING REGARDING THE TALKING POINTS ADVANCED IN REF B. A FULLER REPORT OF THESE VIEWS, INSOFAR AS THEY WERE NOT CONTAINED IN REF A, FOLLOWS.
- 2. THE FRG REP (VON BRAUNMUEHL) SAID THE US SUGGESTION TO PROVIDE GUIDANCE TO AND IMPOSE RESTRICTIONS ON THE CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 16396 01 OF 02 071740Z

GERMAN COURT, WAS INTERESTING AND THE FRG WANTED TO RESERVE ITS POSITION ON IT. (COMMENT: HE INFORMED US ON SEPTEMBER 7 THAT THE MINISTRY OF JUSTICE'S INITIAL REACTION TO THE IDEA WAS LUKEWARM. END COMMENT)

3. THE FRENCH REP (LASSUS) SAID THE FRENCH POSITION ON JURISDICTION WAS CLOSE TO THE FRG'S, HAD BEEN EXPLAINED

BEFORE, AND WOULD NOT CHANGE. HE OBSERVED THAT EACH US POINT COULD BE MADE TO CUT BOTH WAYS. FOR EXAMPLE, ONE COULD TURN AROUND THE ARGUMENT THAT NOT EXERCISING GERMAN JURISDICTION NOW COULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO SUPPORT FUTURE EXTENSION OF LEGISLATION TO BERLIN. ON THE CONTRARY, ASSERTION OF ALLIED RIGHTS IN A CLEARLY RESERVED AREA WOULD SHOW THAT ALLIED RESERVATIONS WERE "NOT PURE WORDS." THIS ASSERTION WOULD GIVE THE ALLIES EVEN MORE FREEDOM TO SUPPORT FUTURE EXTENSION OF TREATIES TO BERLIN.

- 4. SIMILARLY, LASSUS SAID, THE EXTENSION OF THE HAGUE CONVENTION TO BERLIN NOT ONLY PERMITTED EXERCISE OF GERMAN JURISDICTION, BUT IT ALSO PRESERVED ALLIED JURISDICTION BECAUSE THE ALLIES HAD MADE RESERVATIONS TO IT.
- 5. THE UK REP (EYERS) OBSERVED THAT IT WOULD NORMALLY BE A "LOGICAL CONSEQUENCE" OF THE EXTENSION OF THE HAGUE CONVENTION TO BERLIN FOR A GERMAN COURT TO TRY THE HIJACKER. IF THE GERMAN AUTHORITIES COULD OFFER SOME PROSPECT THAT THE RESULT OF A GERMAN TRIAL WOULD CONVINCE WORLD OPINION THAT OBLIGATIONS UNDER THE HAGUE CONVENTION HAD BEEN MET, THEN A TRIAL BY A GERMAN COURT WOULD BE "A LIKELY SOLUTION." SINCE THE FRG HAD IN FACT WARNED OF THE UNPREDICTABILITY OF THE RESULT, THEN THE UK (ASSUMING EXTRADITION WAS RULED OUT) BELIEVED CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 BONN 16396 01 OF 02 071740Z

A TRIAL BY THE US

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 BONN 16396 02 OF 02 071744Z ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 MCT-02 L-03 SSO-00 CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 INRE-00 DOTE-00 DODE-00 FAA-00 H-01 EB-08 SY-05 NSCE-00 SP-02 IO-13 JUSE-00 USSS-00 SS-15 PM-05 /077 W

-----002775 071754Z /41

O R 071728Z SEP 78
FM AMEMBASSY BONN
TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 1699
INFO AMEMBASSY BERLIN
AMEMBASSY LONDON
AMEMBASSY MOSCOW
AMEMBASSY PARIS
AMEMBASSY WARSAW
USMISSION USBERLIN
USMISSION USNATO

CONFIDENTIAL SECTION 02 OF 02 BONN 16396

WAS THE BEST COURSE.

6. EYERS DISMISSED ARGUMENTS ABOUT THE DELAYS INVOLVED IN SETTING UP A SPECIAL US OCCUPATION COURT. THE BRITISH HAD THEMSELVES OVERCOME THESE PROBLEMS AND HE THOUGHT IT HAD TAKEN LESS THAN THREE WEEKS TO CONSTITUTE A SPECIAL BRITISH COURT AFTER THE ATTACK AT THE SOVIET WAR MEMORIAL.

7. HE ALSO DIRECTLY CRITICIZED THE POINT THAT FAILING TO EXERCISE GERMAN JURISDICTION WOULD MAKE IT MORE DIFFICULT TO SUPPORT BOTH FRG REPRESENTATION OF BERLIN ABROAD AND FUTURE TAKING OVER OF FRG LEGISLATION AND TREATIES IN BERLIN. IN THIS CONNECTION HE RECALLED VON BRAUNMUEHL'S STATEMENT AT AN EARLIER MEETING THAT "WE WOULD BE ILL-SERVED CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 BONN 16396 02 OF 02 071744Z

IN BERLIN IF ALLIED RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES WERE ALLOWED TO WEAKEN." EYERS ALSO ASSERTED THAT THE ARGUMENT IMPLICIT IN THE US CASE THAT ESTABLISHING A US COURT WOULD IMPLY THAT BERLIN WAS BEING TREATED AS A SEPARAT SPECIAL ENTITY, COULD BE TURNED AROUND TO SAY THAT SUCH A COURT WOULD DEMONSTRATE THAT THE ALLIES WERE READY TO EXERCISE THEIR RIGHTS.

- 8. REGARDING EASTERN REACTION, EYERS SAID HE
 BELIEVED LONDON WOULD NOT BE CONVINCED THAT RESERVING
 TO THE ALLIES THE DECISIONS ON EXTRADITION AND
 NOTIFICATION TO ICAO WOULD BLUNT SOVIET REACTION. HE
 ALSO WONDERED HOW ONE WOULD RETAIN THIS KIND OF CONTROL
 OVER PROCEEDINGS IN PRACTICE.
- 9. WE ANSWERED THESE ARGUMENTS APPROPRIATELY AND THE OTHER THREE REPS ARE REPORTING THE DEPARTMENT'S VIEWS. HOWEVER, WE HAVE GROWING IMPRESSION THAT DESPITE OUR

ARGUMENTS, OUR ALLIES WILL CONTINUE TO HOLD TO) A
DIFFERENT CONCLUSION.	
MEEHAN	

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 jan 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a **Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED** Concepts: AIRCRAFT HIJACKING, POLICIES, GOVERNMENT REACTIONS Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 07 sep 1978 Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: Released in Full Disposition Action. Released in Figure 2017 Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: 200701569
Disposition Comment: RECORDING OF CLASSIFICATION/RECLASSIFICATION ACTION IN SAS (STATE ARCHIVING SYSTEM) BY THE
DESIGNATED AUTHORITY FOR THIS ACTION, WHO HAS APPROVED RECLASSIFICATION AND OR EXTENSION ACTION ON THIS DOCUMENT. Disposition Event:
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: Released in Full by FRANK PEREZ on 06-SEP-07;
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks: Document Number: 1978BONN16396 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a **Executive Order:** X1 Errors: N/A **Expiration:** Film Number: D780364-1072 Format: TEL From: BONN Handling Restrictions: n/a Image Path: ISecure: 1 Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t19780990/aaaacwsz.tel Line Count: 173 Litigation Code IDs: Litigation Code ios.
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Message ID: 1dbede55-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc Office: ACTION EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 4 Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 78 BONN 16270, 78 STATE 225179 Retention: 0 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Content Flags: Review Date: 20 may 2005 Review Event: Review Exemptions: n/a **Review Media Identifier:** Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a Review Transfer Date: Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a SAS ID: 1511677 Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE Subject: HIJACK OF LOT AIRCRAFT TO BERLIN: FURTHER BONN GROUP REACTION TO US POSITION TAGS: PINS, PGOV, WB, PL, GC, GE, US, BQG To: STATE Type: TE vdkvgwkey: odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/1dbede55-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc Review Markings: Sheryl P. Walter

Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014